

1 HUESTON HENNIGAN LLP  
2 John C. Hueston, State Bar No. 164921  
3 jhueston@hueston.com  
4 Douglas J. Dixon, State Bar No. 275389  
5 ddixon@hueston.com  
6 620 Newport Center Drive, Suite 1300  
7 Newport Beach, CA 92660  
8 Telephone: (949) 229-8640

9 HUESTON HENNIGAN LLP  
10 Joseph A. Reiter, State Bar No. 294976  
11 jreiter@hueston.com  
12 Christine Woodin, State Bar No. 295023  
13 cwoodin@hueston.com  
14 Michael K. Acquah, State Bar No. 313955  
15 macquah@hueston.com  
16 William M. Larsen, State Bar No. 314091  
17 wlarsen@hueston.com  
18 Julia L. Haines, State Bar No. 321607  
19 jhaines@hueston.com  
20 523 West 6th Street, Suite 400  
21 Los Angeles, CA 90014  
22 Telephone: (213) 788-4340

23 Attorneys for Plaintiffs Match Group, LLC;  
24 Humor Rainbow, Inc.; PlentyofFish Media ULC;  
25 and People Media, Inc.

26 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

27 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

28 **IN RE GOOGLE PLAY STORE  
ANTITRUST LITIGATION**

29 THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

30 *Match Group, LLC, et al. v. Google LLC,  
31 et al.*, Case No. 3:22-cv-02746-JD

32 Case No. 3:21-md-02981-JD

33 **[PROPOSED] ORDER RE: RESPONSE TO  
DEFENDANTS' ADMINISTRATIVE  
MOTION TO CONSIDER WHETHER  
ANOTHER PARTY'S MATERIAL SHOULD  
BE SEALED RELATING TO DEFENDANTS'  
ANSWER, DEFENSES, AND  
COUNTERCLAIMS TO MATCH GROUP'S  
COMPLAINT [DOCKET No. 282]**

34 Judge James Donato

35 Having considered Defendants Google LLC, Google Ireland Limited, Google Commerce  
36 Ltd., Google Asia Pacific Pte. Ltd., and Google Payment Corp.'s (collectively, "Google")  
37 Administrative Motion to Consider Whether Another Party's Material Should Be Sealed Relating to

---

38 [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANTS' ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO CONSIDER  
39 WHETHER ANOTHER PARTY'S MATERIAL SHOULD BE SEALED

1 Defendants' Answer, Defenses, and Counterclaims to Match Group's ("Match") Complaint  
 2 ("Motion to Seal"), the Declaration of Adrian Ong in Response to Defendants' Administrative  
 3 Motion, and any materials submitted in support or in opposition thereto, pursuant to Local Rules 7-  
 4 11 and 79-5;

5 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:**

6 The following paragraphs of Defendants' Answer, Defenses, and Counterclaims to Match's  
 7 Complaint may be filed under seal:

8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 Portion Containing Designated Information	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 Designating Party	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 Reason(s) for Sealing Request	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 Ruling
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 1 Intro. to Answer	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 Match	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 The information in this paragraph includes Match Plaintiffs' assessment of the future value of subscriptions on a particular platform, which Match Plaintiffs consider confidential and proprietary business information and which would give Match Plaintiffs' competitors insights into potential vulnerabilities within Match Plaintiffs' services.	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 1
15 16 17 18 19 20 ¶ 31	16 17 18 19 20 Match	17 18 19 20 The information in this paragraph includes detailed figures on the spending patterns of Match Plaintiffs' users, which Match Plaintiffs consider confidential and proprietary business information and which would place Match Plaintiffs at a competitive disadvantage if revealed.	18 19 20 1
18 19 20 ¶ 33	19 20 Match	19 20 The information in this paragraph includes detailed figures on the spending patterns of Match Plaintiffs' users, which Match Plaintiffs consider confidential and proprietary business information and which would place Match Plaintiffs at a competitive disadvantage if revealed.	20 1
20 ¶ 34	21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 Match	21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 The information in this paragraph includes the monthly active users (MAUs) on particular platforms for particular brands as well as other detailed information on Match Plaintiffs' users, which Match Plaintiffs consider confidential and	22 23 24 25 26 27 28 1

1		proprietary business information and which would give Match Plaintiffs' competitors unfair insights into the business.	
4	¶ 38	Match	The information in this paragraph includes Match Plaintiffs' assessment of the future value of subscriptions on a particular platform, which Match Plaintiffs consider confidential and proprietary business information and which would give Match Plaintiffs' competitors insights into potential vulnerabilities within Match Plaintiffs' services.

10  
11  
12 Dated: \_\_\_\_\_, 2022

13 Honorable James Donato  
14 United States District Court  
Northern District of California

21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28